

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

- (a/c/ta

Me TRAD re Application of:

Pulliam, Bryan J.

Serial No.:

09/766,222

Filed: For:

01/19/2001

Portable Fencing

System and

Components Therefor

Group Art Unit:

Examiner

3679

Cottingham, John R.

Attorney Docket

RM579b

**GROUP 3600** 

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO ELECTION / RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Examiner Cottingham:

In response to the Office communication mailed April 25, 2003, please find the following:

- 1. Applicant provisionally elects the invention claimed in Group II, which corresponds with claims 102-117 and 149, drawn to a winch corner, classified in class 256, subclass 40. Inventorship remains the same.
- 2-4. Applicant notes that under the statute, an application may properly be required to be restricted to one of two or more claimed inventions only if they are able to support separate patents and they are either independent or distinct. MPEP §802.01 states:

## **DISTINCT**

The term "distinct" means that two or more subjects as disclosed are related, for example, as combination and part (subcombination) thereof, process and apparatus for its practice, process and product made, etc., but are capable of separate manufacture, use, or sale as claimed AND ARE PATENTABLE (novel and unobvious) OVER EACH OTHER (though they may each be unpatentable because of the prior art). It will be noted that in this definition the term related is used as an alternative for dependent in referring to subjects other than independent subjects.

Applicant would like to point out, however, that in Paragraph 2, the Examiner's last sentence referencing using Applicant's winch corner to pull boats out of the water is inaccurate.

As stated in Applicant's Amendment dated February 24, 2003, Applicant's winch corner fixture is removably anchorable to a ground segment. The reel in Applicant's system plays no part in tightening the line; it merely holds, lets out, and takes up the line. Therefore, it would not support the pulling of a boat since the winch is a manual winch and not a ratcheted-type of winch. Line tightening is accomplished by manually pulling the line taut, and then manually winding it onto an anchor bracket.

Applicant would also draw the Examiner's attention to the last sentence point of Paragraph 3. Although the fencing system's corner member <u>can be</u> placed at a deep penetration, the novelty of the system is that the corner member employs anchors at a <u>shallow</u> penetration that provide a secure mount in the ground via "reverse bracing." Reverse bracing, which was explained in Applicant's Amendment dated February 24, 2003, allows Applicant's corner member to provide security or rigidity in the fence structure against high lateral forces without the employment of deep anchoring.

Referring to the last sentence of Paragraph 4, it is unclear to Applicant what the Examiner means by "the winch does not have to be setup using all three legs, the third position can rest on something else." Applicant's winch assembly is mounted above the mounting portions of a main support frame. A plurality of at least three spaced apart leg members are each pivotally mounted to one of the mounting portions of the main support frame.

5-8. Noted.

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to pass this application to allowance.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: 5/27/03

Rick Martin

Reg. No. 32,267

Patent Law Offices of Rick Martin, P.C.

416 Coffman Street Longmont, CO 80501

(303) 651-2177

DIP.E				·	<b>_</b> _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3679
	NDMENT TRANS Pulliam, Bryan J.	TTAL LETTE	R (Sr	mall Entity)			Docket No. RM579b
1	77.45 erial No. Filir 09/766,222 1/1			Examiner Cottingham			Group Art Unit 3679
Invention: Portable Fencing System and Components Therefor  RECEIV  JUN 0 3 20							
TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: GROUP 3600							
Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.  Small Entity status of this application has been established under 37 CFR 1.27 by a verified statement previously submitted.  □ A verified statement to establish Small Entity status under 37 FR 1.27 is enclosed.  The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.							
CLAIMS AS AMENDED							
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMEN		₹	NUMBER EXTRA CLAIMS PRESENT		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	17 -	121 =	:	0	х	\$9.00	\$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	2 -	12 =	1	0	х	\$42.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable)							\$0.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT							\$0.00
☐ Please A dupl ☐ A chee ☑ The C comm	ditional fee is required charge Deposit According to this sheet in the amount of commissioner is hereby unication or credit any incate copy of this sheet	int No. is enclosed. to cover the authorized to charge overpayment to Depo	e filing paym	_		s associa	ted with this

Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16.

Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

Signature / Words

Dated: 5-27-03

Rick Martin, Reg. No. 32,267 Patent Law Offices of Rick Martin, P.C. 416 Coffman Street Longmont, CO 80501 303-651-2177 I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on 527 2003 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Accident Commissioner for Patents, Washington, B.C.

Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment Mexandria VA 22313-1450.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Aileen Law

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence